

laws. For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, interested parties may submit comments regarding the proposed conveyance for classification of the lands to the District Manager, Las Vegas District, 4765 W. Vegas Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89108.

Classification Comments: Interested parties may submit comments involving the suitability of the land for a transfer station. Comments on the classification are restricted to whether the land is physically suited for the proposal, whether the use will maximize the future use or uses of the land, whether the use is consistent with local planning and zoning, or if the use is consistent with State and Federal programs.

Application Comments: Interested parties may submit comments regarding the specific use proposed in the application and plan of development, whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures in reaching the decision, or any other factor not directly related to the suitability of the land for a transfer station facility.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director.

In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification of the land described in this Notice will become effective 60 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register. The lands will not be offered for conveyance until after the classification becomes effective.

Dated: July 23, 1996.

Donette Gordon,

Acting Associate District Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-19616 Filed 8-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1430-HC-U

[NV-030-1430-01; N-57155]

Cancellation of Realty Action

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Cancellation of realty action.

The Notice of Realty Action—Noncompetitive Sale of Federal Lands in Douglas County, Nevada—published in the Federal Register, Vol. 58, No. 67, Pg. 18413, on April 9, 1993, is hereby cancelled in its entirety.

The federal lands had been found suitable for direct sale to accommodate private improvements on them, placed there as the result of an erroneous private survey. However, these lands were later determined to have some level of hazardous contamination, the result of past nearby mining and mineral processing. The transfer of the lands, under these conditions, was

determined not to be in the interest of either the United States or the sale proponent. A private well and pipeline, on these federal lands, were authorized through the issuance of a right-of-way.

Dated: July 24, 1996.

James M. Phillips,

Assistant District Manager, Non-Renewable Resources.

[FR Doc. 96-19618 Filed 8-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-03-P

[ID-040-4610-00]

Notice of Availability of the Challis Draft Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed ACEC designations.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and BLM Planning Regulations (43 CFR part 1600), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Upper Columbia—Salmon Clearwater Districts has prepared a Draft Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (Draft RMP/EIS) for the Challis Resource Area. The Challis Draft RMP/EIS has been published and is available for review and comment by requesting a copy from the address indicated in the "Addresses" section below. In compliance with 43 CFR 1610.7-2(b), this notice of availability of the Challis Draft RMP/EIS also constitutes notice of ACEC designations proposed in the Challis Draft RMP/EIS. More detailed information about the existing and proposed ACECs described in the Challis Draft RMP/EIS is provided in the "Supplementary Information" section of this notice.

The Challis Draft RMP/EIS describes and analyzes five alternative ways of managing approximately 792,657 acres of BLM public lands in the Challis Resource Area, located in Custer and Lemhi counties of east-central Idaho. When implemented, the Challis RMP would replace the three Management Framework Plans currently used by the Challis Resource Area. The Challis RMP may also amend the Little Lost-Birch Creek Management Framework Plan (BLM 1981), if Alternatives 2, 4, or 5 are selected and the Donkey Hills Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) is designated to include 4,714 acres within the Big Butte Resource Area,

managed by the Idaho Falls District—BLM in Butte County, Idaho.

DATES: Written comments on the Challis Draft RMP/EIS must be submitted or postmarked no later than November 21, 1996. Meetings will be held to receive public comments on the Challis Draft RMP/EIS. The dates and locations of public meetings will be announced through the local media and a mailing list, as appropriate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Challis Draft RMP/EIS may be obtained upon request by contacting the Bureau of Land Management, Salmon Field Office, Route 2, Box 610, Salmon, Idaho 83467; phone (208) 756-5400. Written comments on the Challis Draft RMP/EIS should be sent to Kathe Rhodes, Planning and Environmental Coordinator, Bureau of Land Management, Salmon Field Office, Route 2, Box 610, Salmon, Idaho 83467.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathe Rhodes, Planning and Environmental Coordinator, Bureau of Land Management, Salmon Field Office, Route 2, Box 610, Salmon, Idaho 83467; phone (208) 756-5440. Documents relevant to the Challis Draft RMP/EIS planning process are available at the above address for public viewing during normal office hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Challis Draft RMP/EIS describes and analyzes five alternative land use plans to address the planning issues identified through public involvement and BLM input. Each alternative proposes resource condition objectives, land use allocations, and management actions and direction to guide resource management of the Challis Resource Area on a long term, sustainable basis during the next 15 to 20 years. Alternative 1, the "no action" alternative, describes resource management of the Challis Resource Area as of approximately 1991, when the planning process was initiated. The four "action" alternatives (Alternatives 2, 3, 4, and 5) differ in how much they emphasize three aspects of resource management: (a) the protection, restoration, and enhancement of natural values (e.g., visual quality), (b) traditional commodity production (e.g., timber harvest, livestock grazing, mineral production), and (c) non-commodity resource uses (e.g., recreation).

Four issues and related management concerns were identified during the scoping process for the Challis Draft RMP: